Portraits, whilst often the reserve of the royal household, elites and the influential, also depict a broader variety of individuals. Artists were commissioned and also personally chose to capture the richness of humanity by depicting people across the social spectrum: from group images of middle-class families and members of the artist’s own family to individual faces that might otherwise be forgotten to history.

**KEY WORKS**

- Govaert Flinck, *A Young Archer*, c. 1639–1640
- Bartolomeus van der Helst, *Jochem van Aras with his Wife and Daughter*, 1654
- Rembrandt, *Titus, the Artist’s Son*, c. 1657
- Unknown artist, *Bust of a Woman*, c. 1650
- Élisabeth-Louise Vigée Le Brun, *Madame Parregaux*, 1789
- Govaert Flinck, *A Young Archer*, c. 1639–1640
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>A Young Archer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>c. 1639–1640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>66.2 x 50.8 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIALS</td>
<td>Oil on oak panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTIST</td>
<td>Govaert Flinck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATES</td>
<td>1615–1660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACE</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A YOUNG ARCHER

IN DETAIL
A YOUNG ARCHER

IN DETAIL
This portrait is not of a wealthy, famous or powerful individual. It depicts a young black man dressed in an ornate green velvet jacket adorned with a white pearl. He also wears a pearl earring and has a bow in his right hand and a quill full of arrows on his back. He is alone and dressed as an archer. As he looks off to the side he appears melancholy and is perhaps deep in thought, making us ponder what he might be thinking and feeling. Few colours have been used in this image; the detail of the light catching the adornment on his clothes and jewelry, and the collar around his neck, contrasts with his dark skin and the sombre tones of the rest of the image.

The artwork is not a commissioned portrait but a ‘tronie’, a painting of a person whose look and character are of interest to the artist. It is an image of a real person, who is unknown to the buyer, which was made to be sold in the art market. The sitter may be in costume, acting a part or represented as themselves. It is difficult to tell whether the young man in this image is a model dressed up in costume, perhaps as a literary character, or whether he was employed as an archer working as a huntsman or a soldier.

This picture was originally bought by the fourth Marquess of Hertford because it was thought to be by the artist Rembrandt. Rembrandt was regarded as the greatest artist in Holland in the 17th century, a period in which Dutch trade, science, and art were among the most acclaimed in the world. It is now considered to be by Rembrandt’s pupil, Govaert Flinck, due to the remains of the artist’s signature, and the existence of a drawing of the same model by Flinck.

THE PORTRAIT

WHO IS THE SITTER?

Tronies were not commissioned portraits of individuals, although individuals must have posed for them. The sitter was a model of interest to the artist, whose imagination was sparked by this character. The artist had an opportunity to experiment and enhance their skills without the constraint of the patron’s brief. We therefore do not know who the model for this image was.

The artist lived and worked in Amsterdam, the Dutch Republic’s wealthiest city. Here merchants and migrants from all over the Dutch colonies intermingled. Although the slave trade was of major importance to the Dutch economy, slavery was not strictly legal on Dutch soil. Black immigrants in Amsterdam — predominantly sailors, soldiers or servants who had accompanied repatriated Dutch families from the colonies — were technically free although low in status. We might imagine that this is the backstory of the man shown here, who agreed to pose for the artist.
Govaert Flinck (1615–1660) was a Dutch painter mainly working in Amsterdam. He studied with the artist Rembrandt and for a long time after he trained, Flinck painted in a style that was practically indistinguishable from his master, using muted tones, a reduced colour palette and a focus on light and shade. Several of his paintings have at some time been assigned to Rembrandt. In his later career, his style developed to a more smooth, elegant style, brightening his palette under the influence of artists such as Anthony van Dyck and Bartholomeus van der Helst.

A YOUNG ARCHER

Black servants within noble households were signs of prestige and ornamentation which could only be afforded by the privileged classes. As black servants grew up, their duties within the household often changed substantially. Sometimes they were charged with duties of great authority such as bookkeeping or as horse grooms and hunting companions.

WHO IS THE ARTIST?

Govaert Flinck (1615–1660) was a Dutch painter mainly working in Amsterdam. He studied with the artist Rembrandt and for a long time after he trained, Flinck painted in a style that was practically indistinguishable from his master, using muted tones, a reduced colour palette and a focus on light and shade. Several of his paintings have at some time been assigned to Rembrandt. In his later career, his style developed to a more smooth, elegant style, brightening his palette under the influence of artists such as Anthony van Dyck and Bartholomeus van der Helst.

DISCUSS

Is it easier to create an ambiguous portrait of a literary character than the actual life of a sitter? How?

CONSIDER

- How might our reading of the Wallace Collection A Young Archer change if we knew the identity of the young man depicted?
Compare the Wallace Collection’s A Young Archer with these other portraits of known black male sitters.

- How might our reading of the Wallace Collection A Young Archer change if we knew the identity of the young man depicted?
- How has portraiture been used to illustrate changing roles of black men in society? What role has portraiture had in shaping that change?

- The Family of Sir William Young

In the 18th century, servants were often included in family portraits as symbols of status and wealth. The young man steadying the boys on the horse had probably come to England from a West Indian plantation owned by Sir William Young where he had likely been enslaved. He was named John Brook.
Jem Wharton was one of the most successful boxers in Britain in the first half of the 19th century. In his portrait by William Daniels, he is shown as if pausing during a training session with the ‘colours’ from his latest victory tied around his waist.

William Daniels, Jem Wharton, 1839

Ira Aldridge, an American actor, was the first black actor to play Othello in Britain. James Northcote’s portrait of Aldridge was bought by Manchester Art Gallery shortly after Aldridge’s performance as Othello in Manchester.

James Northcote, Ira Aldridge as Othello, the Moor of Venice, 1826

Image Courtesy of Manchester Art Gallery
COMPARE

- **Samuel Coleridge-Taylor**

  Samuel Coleridge-Taylor was a composer who enjoyed considerable success in the early years of the 20th century.


  © National Portrait Galery, London

  E.O. Hoppé, *Samuel Coleridge-Taylor*, 1912

  ©E.O. Hoppé Estate Collection, Pasadena, California

EXPLORE FURTHER

Look at contemporary representations of unknown black male sitters. How are artists using portraiture to comment on modern black lives? Look at these examples:

- Lynette Yiadom-Boakye, *L’Ortolan*, 2011, Arts Council Collection Southbank Centre

- Thomas J Price, *Numen (Shifting Votive 1,2,3) series*, 2016
JOCHEM VAN ARAS WITH HIS WIFE AND DAUGHTER

Jochem van Aras with his Wife and Daughter
1654
169.5 x 197.2 cm
Oil on canvas
Bartolomeus van der Helst
1613–1670
The Netherlands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>Jochem van Aras with his Wife and Daughter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>1654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>169.5 x 197.2 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIALS</td>
<td>Oil on canvas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTIST</td>
<td>Bartolomeus van der Helst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATES</td>
<td>1613–1670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACE</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
JOCHEM VAN ARAS WITH HIS WIFE AND DAUGHTER

IN DETAIL
JOCHEM VAN ARAS WITH HIS WIFE AND DAUGHTER
The Van Aras family pose together: husband, wife and their only surviving daughter, in the bright contrasting colours of their finest clothes. They are positioned just off-centre so that we can get a clear view of their country estate and the city of Haarlem, in the Netherlands, on the horizon. Jochem van Aras sits pointing with one hand, drawing our attention to his other hand with which he pats a hunting dog. A second dog is nestled at his feet. In the centre of the image, his wife Elisabeth Claes Loenen proudly displays a large dead hare. Their young daughter Maria waits by their side carrying a basket full of ripe fruit.

This image might leave us wondering why a family would dress in luxurious clothing, only to be shown posing self-consciously, handling dogs, dead animals and fruit. Every aspect of this image has, however, been carefully considered and includes key symbols, which were common at that time, to tell us about the wealth, status and social aspirations of the family.

Jochem van Aras is clearly shown as the master of the family and household. He is confidently in control of his hunting dogs, which also act as symbols of faithfulness and fidelity. The basket of fruit that this daughter holds represents her hopes for a fruitful marriage in the future. The dead hare, displayed centre stage, is the result of a hunt that has taken place on their land. Recent laws had extended the privilege of hunting from the aristocracy to the middle classes. This imagery became a common theme in middle-class family portraits at this time, proud as they were to display their social standing.

Jochem van Aras was a wealthy baker and a prosperous merchant from Amsterdam. He is depicted with his wife Elisabeth Claes Loenen and daughter Maria van Aras.

Bartholomeus van der Helst (1613-1670) was a Dutch Baroque painter, and one of the leading portraitists of Amsterdam in the mid-17th century. He had many influential sitters and important commissions.

At the time that this portrait was painted, Helst had replaced Rembrandt as Amsterdam’s most fashionable portrait painter in the mid-1640s. His portraits reflect the courtly and elegant manner that became popular in Dutch painting at that time.
DISCUSS

What items would you include in a group portrait to show the social class and future aspirations of your family?

COMPARE

Compare the Van Aras family with other key family portraits in the Wallace Collection. How have the artists illustrated the relationships shown?

- Rembrandt and Studio

EXPLORE FURTHER

Look at modern and contemporary representations of family in art.

- Henry Moore, Family Group, 1949
- David Hockney, My Parents and Myself, 1976

Rembrandt and Studio, Jean Pellicorne with his Son Caspar, c. 1632
Rembrandt and Studio, Susanna van Collen, Wife of Jean Pellicorne with her Daughter Anna, c. 1632
COMPARE

- Gonzales Coques

Gonzales Coques, A Family Group in a Landscape, 1647

Gonzales Coques, A Gentleman with his two Daughters, c. 1664
TITUS, THE ARTIST’S SON

Titus, the Artist’s Son

c. 1657

68.5 x 57.3 cm

Oil on canvas

Rembrandt

1606–1669

The Netherlands
The artist’s 16-year-old son, Titus, is shown wearing a bright red cap, a gold chain and an earring. He looks out at us with a slight smile and the light catches the curls of hair that frame his face. He is wearing a large coat that appears to be slightly oversized for him. The picture has a dark background that matches the sombre colours of the young man’s clothes, and dramatic lighting that falls from the left side of the painting catching his shoulder and the side of his face.

Titus is dressed in clothing of 16th-century Venice, presumably from the costume collection in the artist’s studio. Rembrandt often dressed up his sitters, including himself in self-portraits. His son became a favourite model for character portraits like this one. We do not know who the image was intended for but there was clearly a keen interest in such works as Rembrandt made a few of this type with Titus as the sitter.

This image blurs the line between a family portrait and ‘tronie’. Rembrandt has used his son, an individual well known to him, whose likeness he has faithfully captured, as a model to create a generic character portrait for sale on the art market. Family members might often act as models for character studies like these, presumably due to the cheap cost and ease of engaging them.

Titus died aged only twenty-six, having caught the plague. When looking at the image today we don’t just see the original character study intended for the art market. The modern viewer can also read the Rembrandt family story: the relationship between father and son, a story of illness and loss, and suddenly the portrait seems to take on a new, more poignant meaning.

Titus van Rijn (1641–1668) was the only one of Rembrandt’s four children by his first wife Saskia to survive infancy. The year 1657 was a troubled one for Rembrandt and his family. The previous year the artist had been declared bankrupt and the fifteen-year-old Titus and his stepmother Hendrickje Stoffels were forced to sell Rembrandt’s pictures and the production of his etchings. Titus went on to study painting with his father. He married in 1668, but caught the plague and died that same year, before his daughter was born. Rembrandt, his daughter-in-law and the grandchild also died soon afterwards. They were all buried in rented graves.
WHO IS THE ARTIST?

Rembrandt (1606–1669) was a Dutch Baroque painter and printmaker. He was a master storyteller who excelled at biblical, historical, mythological, and allegorical artworks. He experimented with light and shade, using a reduced colour palette. He had a particular skill in portraying people in varied moods and dramatic guises. He painted with an uncompromising realism that would lead some critics to claim that he preferred ugliness to beauty.

At the beginning of the 20th century the Wallace Collection listed that it held twelve paintings by Rembrandt. It is now understood that the Collection holds four works by the artist himself and several others by his studio and followers.

DISCUSS

Does a personal relationship between artist and sitter enhance a portrait? How might this affect the viewer?

EXPLORE FURTHER

• Look at other portraits of artists’ children. Explore how the intimacy and closeness of the relationship has been captured.

Thomas Gainsborough, *The Painter’s Daughters chasing a Butterfly*, c. 1756

© The National Gallery, London
**BUST OF A WOMAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>Bust of a Woman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>c. 1650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>72 x 49.2 cm, depth 30.7 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIALS</td>
<td>Black, white and jasper marble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTIST</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLACE</td>
<td>Italy, possibly Rome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BUST OF A WOMAN

IN DETAIL
**THE PORTRAIT**

This beautiful black marble bust of a woman has an idealised sense of beauty with her dreamy gaze and upturned head. She faces slightly to her right, her hair arranged in ringlets pulled back off her face. She wears a tunic from white marble which is fastened on the right shoulder by a button. The loose cap she wears is decorated with a posy of flowers, made from variegated marble, the same colour as the drapery that covers her chest. The contrasting colours of the marble drapery and cap give a sense that the woman might have been wearing rich and vibrant fabrics. The cap and posy of flowers might suggest that she has been depicted as a fortune teller. Similar caps are worn by female fortune tellers in other 17th-century paintings.

Busts like this were usually made in pairs of a man and a woman, and often included the orientalising costumes and turbans black servants would wear at the time. The male companion piece to this sculpture in the Wallace Collection may be meant to depict her fortune telling accomplice.

These objects illustrate a widespread interest in and curiosity towards Africa at the time, however as they are very common throughout Europe it is difficult to know the time and place of their making. Increased contact and trade with Africa and the growth of the slave trade during the 17th century meant the presence of African people would have been familiar in many European cities, along with an increase in black servants in the households of the wealthy at this time.

This bust and its companion piece in the Wallace Collection present a greater degree of realism and individualisation that distinguish them from other more stereotypical ‘moor’ busts of the 17th and 18th centuries.

**WHO IS THE SITTER?**

The sitter of this portrait sculpture is unknown. The woman has been presented in a classicising and idealised way, as an attractive and sensual fortune teller.

**WHO IS THE ARTIST?**

The artists of this sculpture and the companion bust of a man are unknown. It is believed that the artworks might have been made in Rome, which along with Venice is known to be a centre of production for this type of object.
DISCUSS

How has the artist used different marbles to enhance the effect of this portrait bust?

Can we use this portrait to build an idea about the lives of African women in Europe around 1650? Why might we distrust this image? What are we not shown in the image?

COMPARE

• Compare this portrait bust and its companion piece *Bust of a Man* in the Wallace Collection with *The Fortune Teller* by Nicolas Régnier in the Louvre, Paris. What similarities and differences are there with the figures in the painting?

EXPLORE FURTHER

Explore contemporary portraits of black women in art and sculpture. How might these portraits shape how we view the Wallace Collection portrait *Bust of a Woman*?

• Thomas J Price, *Reaching Out*, 2020

• Sonia Boyce, *From Tarzan to Rambo: English Born ‘Native’ Considers her Relationship to the Constructed/Self Image and her Roots in Reconstruction*, 1987

• Amy Sherald, *Michelle Obama*, 2018

Nicolas Régnier, *La Diseuse de bonne aventure / The Fortune Teller*, c. 1626

© 1998 RMN-Grand Palais (musée du Louvre) / Hervé Lewandowski

Unknown, *Bust of a Man*, c. 1650
MADAME PERREGAUX

TITLE  Madame Perregaux
DATE  1789
SIZE  Oil on oak panel
MATERIALS  99.6 x 78.5 cm
ARTIST  Élisabeth-Louise Vigée Le Brun
DATES  1755-1842
PLACE  France
This half-length portrait captures a moment of energy and curiosity as Madame Perregaux draws back a curtain and leans across a balcony, looking out to the left of the image at something that has caught her attention. It is a bright and colourful image. The green of the curtain with gold trim contrasts with the bright red feather in her hat and the red ribbon and trim on her fashionable black dress.

This image was commissioned by her husband on the eve of French Revolution. The artist Vigée Le Brun was the queen’s portrait artist; with hindsight we might think it risky to be painted by someone with such a strong association to the monarchy at that time. The sense of revelation in the image draws us in, making us question what she is looking at and wondering about what drama might have caught her attention.

The artist knew Adélaïde Perregaux very well as her husband was the artist’s banker as well as a noted collector of art. She was particularly pleased with this portrait as she wrote to the sitter claiming that ‘the portrait of Madame Perregaux is the most charming of all because of its likeness’. This portrait is typical of the glamorous and flattering style the artist would use to depict her sitters.

Élisabeth-Louise Vigée Le Brun (1755–1842) was one of the great portrait artists of her day, and among the most important of all women artists. She had a rapid journey to fame. She was a self-taught artist and despite having no formal training, as this was forbidden for women, she established a successful practice. At age twenty she became a painter at the royal court. After painting the first major official portrait of the French queen Marie Antoinette in 1778, Vigée Le Brun became her official artist and produced thirty portraits of Marie Antoinette in six years. The queen was fundamental to advancing her career and intervened to ensure her admittance to the Académie Royale in 1783, after she had previously been rejected. She was famed for her signature style: elegant and graceful portraits which usually flattered her sitters and showed off their wealth.

Afraid of the advancing French Revolution and wary of how her association with the queen might put her life in danger, Vigée Le Brun fled to Italy. French culture was greatly appreciated abroad and she was able to continue working across Europe.
Vigée Le Brun used a pose from Rubens’ Portrait of Susanna Lunden in depicting herself – she said that the self-portrait ‘greatly enhanced my reputation’.

Why might a female artist want to associate themselves with a great artist of the past in this way?
EXPLORE FURTHER

Explore female artists of the past. Consider the challenges they faced, and how their artistic success was depicted.

- Artemisia Gentileschi
  - *Self Portrait as Saint Catherine of Alexandria*, c. 1615–17
  - © National Gallery, London

- Mary Moser
  - *Self-Portrait*, c. 1880
  - National Portrait Gallery, Smithsonian Institution

- Angelica Kauffmann
  - *Angelica Kauffmann*, c. 1770–1775
  - © National Portrait Gallery, London

- Mary Cassatt
  - *Mary Cassatt Self-Portrait*, c. 1880
  - National Portrait Gallery, Smithsonian Institution

- Henry Singleton
  - *The Royal Academicians in General Assembly*, 1795

- Mary Cassatt
  - *Portrait of the Artist*, 1878

- Laura Knight
  - *Laura Knight with model, Ella Louise Napier (Self Portrait)*, 1913
ACTIVITIES

• Document people from across your community in a portrait. Consider their special qualities that might otherwise be overlooked. How do you want the portrait to talk to viewers in the future who do not know the sitters?

• Arrange and photograph three objects that can represent your identity and community.

• Create a group portrait of your family or people close to you. Consider the pose, setting and symbols you want to include that can tell their story.
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